

**CoCo LEAD Plus  
(Contra Costa Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion)  
Policy Committee and Operations Committee  
Joint Meeting**

[Meeting Notes](#)

**Monday, August 5, 2019  
10:00 am to 12:00 pm  
1430 Willow Pass Road, Suite 100  
Concord, Ca 945209**

**Meeting Leader:** Bryan Forrester PhD (Behavioral Health Services)

**Attendees:** Ilean Baltodano, Jan Cobaleda-Keglar, Patrice Guillory,  
Bill Jones, Jeff Landau, Audrey Montana, Dee Myers,  
Marie Scannell, Lisa Thomas, Tony Uccifferi, John Vanderklugt,  
Robert Weston, Amelia Wood

**1) Welcome**

- o Introductions completed
- o Reviewed Agenda
  - A Quorum was not present for the Operations Committee
  - As a result, the Operations Committee will not meet at this time
  - A Quorum was present for the Policy Committee and this Committee's meeting will proceed as scheduled
- o Reviewed Notes of:
  - Policy Committee (March 20, 2019) – Approved unanimously (votes of 1) with one minor revision
  - Operations Committee (June 27, 2019) – Vote not conducted

**2) Propose Combining the Policy Committee and Operations Committee**

- o Would reduce time burden, increase efficiency especially in view of scheduling
- o Have two separate meetings or merge into one meeting?
- o Ensure to include those who participate in meetings who have specialized expertise
- o Some Committee members belong to both Committees
- o Determination - Scheduling of Committee Meetings:
  - Will have the two Committee meetings meet at the same time in a joint meeting
    - ◇ Operations Committee meet first and Policy Committee meet second
    - ◇ Agenda items dictate the time allotment for each Committee
    - ◇ Each Committee will have separate meeting and discussion time
  - Will have a joint meeting once a month on the fourth Wednesday

**CoCo LEAD Plus  
(Contra Costa Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion)  
Policy Committee and Operations Committee  
Joint Meeting**

**3) Proposed Changes to the Voting System**

- Policy Committee and Operations Committee will continue to be separate Committees
- Voting will remain the same

**4) Proposed Changes in Eligibility Criteria/Referral Process**

- Jan Cobaleda-Keglar
  - Recommend changing the Eligibility Criteria
    - ◇ For the purpose of expanding enrollment
    - ◇ Board of Corrections is fine with the proposed changes
    - ◇ Eligibility Criteria 1.1.2.a (currently under arrest or subject to arrest by Antioch PD)
      - ❖ Criterial 1.1.2.b – eligible arrest by Antioch PD in the last twelve months
        - Eliminate this provision or
        - Include other jurisdictions (i.e. Pittsburg, Brentwood, Oakley) or
        - Extend time period to eighteen months or longer
      - ❖ Criterial 1.1.2.c – Social Contact referrals
        - Arrest on an offense by Antioch PD at least twice
- Discussion Criteria 1.1.2.b:
  - Currently requires two eligible charges – present charge plus one during the period
  - John Vanderklugt represented the Antioch Police Department and presented statistics
    - ◇ Month of July - 40 people had eligible arrests but did not have a prior eligible arrest within the past twelve months
    - ◇ If all 40 people become eligible, in one year that would be 480 people – not a manageable number. The pattern has been fifty percent enrolled so perhaps twenty a month.
    - ◇ Prior to the twelve month period, some had been arrested by Antioch PD before
  - This program is focused on repeat offenders
  - Suggest extending period to eighteen months
  - Will review statistics for July to determine outcomes if extended to eighteen months
  - Highest number of referrals was eighteen in August last year
  - Antioch PD - Fifteen eligible per month would be the maximum number to be manageable
  - Approximately fifty percent end up enrolling
  - HealthRight 360 - Six or seven new clients a month may be unmanageable for current staff. Currently have two or three referral clients a month (tripling the workload) but may be manageable
  - Antioch PD - that should be a manageable number
  - Goal is 200 people enrolled. Currently have 38 enrolled. Need to enroll 162 people within the next 24 months. This results in 6.75 persons enrolled per month.
  - So extending the time period to eighteen months could help meet the goals

**CoCo LEAD Plus**  
**(Contra Costa Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion)**  
**Policy Committee and Operations Committee**  
**Joint Meeting**

- Other referral sources also (i.e. social contact, Probation)
  - ◊ More people would be eligible and numbers would be manageable
- Antioch PD – suggest not include outside agencies as would require extensive research for each person and contacting several nearby jurisdictions
- Discussion Criteria 1.1.2.b:
  - Reduce from two arrests to one arrest in the past twelve months
  - Suggest past eighteen months
  - Social contact reduced to one prior in past eighteen months
  - Discussed Antioch Police Department statistics
    - ◊ Month of July - 40 people had eligible arrests but did not have a prior eligible arrest
- Motion
  - Amend Eligibility Criteria
    - ◊ Section 1.1.2.b - Change twelve month timeframe to eighteen months
    - ◊ Section 1.1,2.c - Change twelve month timeframe to eighteen months and change two arrests to one arrest
  - Motion seconded
  - Vote: Eight votes in favor of Motion (seven one votes, one two vote)
  - Motion passed
- Bryan Forrester
  - Suggested soft hand off during early outreach
  - Antioch PD can make the referral, outreach can occur simultaneously during vetting process
  - Send early outreach information to HealthRight 360 but they cannot offer Diversion until Antioch PD can vet the client
  - If they meet Eligibility Criteria, HealthRight 360 could offer enrollment
  - If they do not meet Criteria, HealthRight 360 could offer other services by County or others
  - This may not require a vote as it is process and not policy
  - Antioch Police Department
    - ◊ Is training officers and informing them if making an arrest, give person the card
    - ◊ Suggest give a notification to HealthRight 360 of each person preliminarily vetted and on the surface appear eligible, identify the person, where they might be located and outreach can begin based on this notification
    - ◊ Can call this a pre-outreach phase
- Robert Weston - Possible Topic for Next Meeting
  - After 270 days or nine months post referral, a person becomes ineligible
  - Since the beginning of the program, fourteen people who were eligible for the program have timed out that were never enrolled
  - Of those fourteen, six were never found (almost fifty percent)
  - When we do find them, we have an approximately seventy-five percent enrollment rate

**CoCo LEAD Plus  
(Contra Costa Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion)  
Policy Committee and Operations Committee  
Joint Meeting**

- If we found the six, we could have hoped to enroll four
- Could they be eligible again once rearrested?
- Some of the fourteen have been rearrested since
- Can we continue the eligibility if people are getting rearrested for eligible offenses?
- This will be added as a future Agenda item

**5) Antioch Police Department Coverage**

- Not addressed

**6) Antioch Police Department Use of Outreach Cards**

- Not addressed

**7) Public Comment**

- None

**8) Adjourn**

- Next meeting **August 28, 2019**